

# Repertoire of the Practice of the Security Council 18th Supplement 2012-2013

Department of Political Affairs - Security Council Affairs Division Security Council Practices and Charter Research Branch

# 19. The situation in Afghanistan

#### Overview

During the period under review, the Security Council held eleven meetings and adopted four resolutions in connection with the situation in Afghanistan.

The Council focused on the gradual transition to full Afghan responsibilities for providing security for the period after the withdrawal of the United Nations-authorized International Security Assistance Force (ISAF). It considered the framework for socioeconomic development and regional integration. Furthermore, the Council also discussed the reconciliation process, human rights, the preparations for the 2014 elections, and the fight against drug trafficking.

The Council extended the mandate of the United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA) twice for periods of one year. It also extended twice, for periods of one year, the authorization of ISAF, under Chapter VII of the Charter, including authorization for the Member States participating in ISAF to take all necessary measures to fulfil its mandate.

The table at the end of the section lists the meetings at which this item was considered, and gives information on, inter alia, invitees, speakers and decisions adopted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Resolutions <u>2041 (2012)</u> and <u>2096 (2013)</u>; for more information on the mandate of UNAMA, see part X, sect. II, with regard to political and peacebuilding missions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Resolutions  $\underline{2069 (2012)}$  and  $\underline{2120 (2013)}$ ; for more information on the mandate of ISAF, see part VIII, with regard to regional organizations.

# March 2012 to December 2013: Security and economic development

During the period under review, the transition of the responsibility for security from ISAF to the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF), as well as greater Afghan ownership in the political and socio-economic sphere were discussed in every meeting under this agenda item.

In his briefing to the Council on 20 March 2012, the Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Afghanistan and Head of UNAMA reported that the international military presence was gradually transferring full security responsibility in Afghanistan to the Afghan National Security Forces and would finish its current mission by the end of 2014. He underlined that the transition process had been so far on track and on target, but added that the process also entailed accelerated Afghan leadership, responsibility and accountability in governance, rule of law, justice, economic development, and combating corruption and poverty.<sup>3</sup> The representative of Afghanistan called this process a paradigm shift, with the aim of empowering Afghanistan to take charge of its own destiny. Transition should be followed by the transformation decade 2015-2024, he added. He also welcomed the Istanbul process on regional integration, which he called a visionary step forward to achieving a benevolent regional order, characterized by cooperation, collaboration and shared goals. 4 Speakers in general welcomed the transition of responsibility in security and socio-economic development, and pointed to the importance of the NATO Chicago Summit of May 2012 and the Tokyo development summit of July 2012 for the future of these processes.<sup>5</sup> Conversely. the Russian Federation argued against artificial deadlines for withdrawing international forces from Afghanistan, saying that ISAF troops had received a mandate from the Security Council and should therefore present a final report to the Council before withdrawing.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>6</sup> Ibid., p. 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S/PV.6735, pp. 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ibid., pp. 5-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ibid., p. 7 (Germany); p. 9 (Portugal); p. 11 (South Africa); pp. 13-14 (India); p. 18 (France); p. 20 (Azerbaijan); pp. 22-23 (United States); p. 24 (United Kingdom); p. 26 (European Union); p. 27 (Australia); p. 28 (Japan); pp. 29-30 (Turkey); and pp. 30-31 (Norway).

In his briefing on 27 June 2012 the Under-Secretary-General for Peacekeeping Operations informed Council members on the progress made in the transition, namely at the NATO Summit in Chicago on defining the shape of, and the long-term support to, the Afghan National Security Forces, and at the ministerial conference in Kabul on regional integration. He expressed his hope that long-term commitments to the social-economic development sector would be made later that year at the Tokyo conference. On the transition in the security sector, the representative of Afghanistan also reported on the NATO Summit in Chicago and informed on the establishment of bilateral partnership agreements with various countries. The Assistant Secretary-General for Operations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization said that the Chicago summit had assessed progress on the transition of security to Afghan responsibility. With the third tranche of transition starting in Afghanistan, Afghan soldiers and police would be taking the lead for the security of 75 per cent of the population within the following months. The Afghan National Security Forces were on schedule to take full responsibility for security at the conclusion of the ISAF mission by the end of 2014. He said that in Chicago, NATO, along with ISAF partners, had confirmed that it would begin a new mission after 2014 to train, advise and assist the Afghan security forces, at the invitation of the Afghan Government. In general, the Chicago summit was welcomed by speakers as an expression of support beyond 2014, after the military drawdown and the end of the transition period. 10 The representative of the Russian Federation, however, said that full clarity was necessary in the possible planning of a new NATO operation in Afghanistan, including its mandate, strength and mission. Such an operation should be sanctioned by the Security Council, however, only after the ISAF mission had reported to the Security Council on the implementation of its mandate. <sup>11</sup> The representative of the Islamic

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>S/PV.6793</u>, pp. 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ibid., p. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Ibid., p. 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Ibid., p. 6 (Afghanistan); p. 8 (Germany); p. 11 (United Kingdom); p. 13 (Guatemala); p. 14 (United States); pp. 16-17 (Colombia); p. 21 (Azerbaijan); p. 23 (France); p. 25 (Australia); p. 27 (Japan); p. 28 (European Union); p. 29 (Turkey); and p. 30 (New Zealand).

Republic of Iran cautioned that a long-term international engagement in Afghanistan should not lead to a long-term military presence. 12

In his briefing to the Council on 20 September 2012, the Special Representative of the Secretary-General reported on the Tokyo conference of 8 July, where the Tokyo Mutual Accountability Framework was concluded. Donor pledges for social and economic development were matched by Afghan Government commitments, notably in the areas of good governance, anti-corruption, human rights and elections. <sup>13</sup> Several speakers emphasised the importance of both sides living up to their pledges. 14

During the same meeting, the Special Representative of the Secretary-General and the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Afghanistan both reported on progress in the transition of security responsibility.<sup>15</sup> In general, participants in the debate welcomed the progress achieved, 16 with some speakers also pointing to an increase in killings of ISAF personnel by Afghan security forces<sup>17</sup> as well as to an increase in insurgent-initiated attacks.<sup>18</sup> The representative of the Russian Federation reiterated his request for clarity with regard to a residual military presence beyond 2014. 19 The representative of France stated that the aim was to leave in place professional, credible and sustainable Afghan security forces, to be funded entirely by the Afghan State by no later than 2024.<sup>20</sup>

On 19 December 2012 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General welcomed the increased attention to the professionalization of the police with a law enforcement role

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Ibid. p. 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> S/PV.6840, p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Ibid., p. 6 (Portugal); p. 7 (Guatemala); p. 8 (United Kingdom); p. 9 (Colombia); p. 11 (United States); p. 11 (Morocco); p. 12 (Togo); p. 14 (South Africa); pp. 16-17 (Azerbaijan); p. 17 (France); p. 23 (Germany); p. 24 (Japan); pp. 26-27 (European Union); p. 28 (Australia); p. 29 (Finland); and p. 30 (Canada). <sup>15</sup> Ibid., pp. 2-4 (Special Representative of the Secretary-General); and pp. 4-6 (Afghanistan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Ibid., p. 7 (Guatemala); p. 8 (United Kingdom); p. 10 (United States); p. 11 (Morocco); and p. 17 (France).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Ibid., p. 8 (United Kingdom); p. 14 (South Africa); and p. 30 (Canada).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Ibid., p. 21 (Pakistan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Ibid., p. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Ibid., p. 17.

distinct from the military, in line with Tokyo commitments.<sup>21</sup> The representative of Afghanistan reported that the first three stages of the five-stage security transition process were nearly complete and that the overwhelming majority of the population of Afghanistan resided in areas where Afghan security forces had lead security responsibility. He added that security had improved in areas where lead security responsibility had been transitioned to Afghan forces.<sup>22</sup> The representative of the United States added that over 75 per cent of the Afghan population, including every provincial capital, was living in Afghan-led secured regions.<sup>23</sup>

In his briefing to the Council on 19 March 2013 the representative of Afghanistan said that by the end of the on-going fourth tranche of transition 87 per cent of the Afghan population would be living in areas where Afghan security forces were in charge of security. He also welcomed the discussions held in Brussels from 21 to 22 February, where NATO took steps towards planning improved capabilities and reinforced its commitment to the NATO post-2014 role of training, advising and assisting Afghan National Security Forces. Furthermore, he informed the Council on the progress made by Afghanistan in concluding bilateral security partnerships.<sup>24</sup>

On 20 June 2013 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General said that Afghan security forces had entered the last phase in assuming the lead responsibility for security throughout the country. At the same time, he observed increasingly brutal, complex assaults on high-profile targets, aimed at security personnel and terrorizing civilians. The representative of Afghanistan equally noted an escalation in acts of violence in recent weeks, affecting all citizens — men, women and children — as well as international personnel. He added that children were increasingly bearing the brunt of the conflict. Both speakers also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> <u>S/PV.6896</u>, p.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Ibid., p. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Ibid., p. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> <u>S/PV.6935</u>, pp. 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> S/PV.6983, p. 2.

<sup>20</sup> Ibid., p. 5

looked forward to an upcoming conference to assess the progress made on the Tokyo Mutual Accountability Framework.<sup>27</sup> In the debate that followed, most speakers deplored the increase in civilian casualties.<sup>28</sup> The representative of the Russian Federation cautioned that the security situation was deteriorating and that mobilization of armed groups could be seen wherever ISAF had transferred responsibilities to the Afghan forces. He therefore saw no justification for an accelerated transfer of security responsibilities from ISAF to the Afghan army and police.<sup>29</sup> The representative of Australia said that the scale of international donor pledges at the 2012 Tokyo Conferences illustrated the commitment of the international community to helping Afghanistan achieve a secure, stable and prosperous future, but that the ability of the international community to sustain support for Afghanistan depended upon the Afghan Government delivering on its commitments under the Framework.<sup>30</sup> Other speakers also made reference to the mutuality of the agreement and urged its full implementation.<sup>31</sup>

In his briefing to the Council on 19 September 2013 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General reported that the Afghan army and police had shown courage and a growing capability in rising to the challenge of the security transition, increasingly trusting themselves and working to earn the trust of the population, despite heavy casualties in their ranks. But he also cautioned that the capabilities of the Afghan security forces were not yet fully developed or completely sustainable and quoted ISAF Commander General Dunford who had recently stated that international support would be required for at least another five years in order to enable entirely independent operations. In this regard, he welcomed the numerous bilateral partnership agreements that were underlying the multilateral

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Ibid., p. 3 (Special Representative of the Secretary-General); and p. 6 (Afghanistan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Ibid., p. 7 (Australia); p. 7 (Republic of Korea); p. 9 (China); p. 10 (Azerbaijan); p. 11 (Luxembourg); p. 12 (Morocco); p. 14 (France); p. 15 (Argentina); p. 16 (Rwanda); p. 17 (Guatemala and Togo); p. 18 (Russian Federation); p. 20 (United Kingdom); p. 22 (Turkey); p. 24 (Japan); and p. 26 (Islamic Republic of Iran).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Ibid., pp. 18-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Ibid., p. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Ibid., p. 10 (Azerbaijan); p. 16 (Rwanda); p. 20 (United Kingdom); p. 22 (Turkey); p. 24 (Japan); and p. 25 (Italy).

commitments from Chicago. Furthermore, he reported a rise in civilian casualties, with the Taliban movement continuing to assert that anyone associated with the Government or seen to support it constituted a target.<sup>32</sup> The representative of Afghanistan informed that the final phase of the security transition had begun on 18 June and reported on the progress in concluding bilateral security agreements.<sup>33</sup> The representative of the Russian Federation, while noting the efforts of the Afghan leadership to strengthen the capacity of national security forces, expressed his concern that the conclusion of the transfer of responsibility for security from ISAF to Afghans was taking place against a backdrop of increased subversive extremist activity, including along the northern perimeter of Afghanistan. At the same time, he called for clear temporal and legal frameworks with regard to the format, objectives and legal basis of the remaining military presence in Afghanistan.<sup>34</sup> On the Tokyo Mutual Accountability Framework, the Special Representative of the Secretary-General cautioned that a focus on election preparations must not draw attention away from such issues as combatting corruption, the rule of law and economic growth. 35 The representative of Afghanistan said that the senior officials' meeting of the Tokyo Conference had looked critically into those obligations in July in Kabul, 36 a meeting that was also welcomed by other speakers.<sup>37</sup>

In his briefing to the Council on 17 December 2013 the representative of Afghanistan informed the Council that the Afghan National Security Forces had assumed full responsibility for security nationwide since June. He also reported that the Loya Jirga had endorsed the bilateral security agreement with the United States. In this regard, he said that the agreement should be accompanied by assurances for measures to end the military raids on Afghan homes and the launching of negotiations between the Afghan High Peace Council and the Taliban. He further expressed certainty that the bilateral security agreement would be

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> <u>S/PV.7035</u>, pp. 2-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Ibid., pp. 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Ibid., p. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Ibid., p. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Ibid., p. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Ibid., p. 6 (Azerbaijan); p. 27 (Japan); and p. 27 (Germany).

signed in a timely manner.<sup>38</sup> At the same meeting, in his briefing to the Council, the Special Representative of the Secretary-General said that the development agenda would require continuity and progress throughout the transition and reported on preparations for a meeting on the Tokyo Mutual Accountability Framework in January 2014. <sup>39</sup> Several speakers underlined the importance of the various commitments under the framework.<sup>40</sup>

#### March 2012 to December 2013: Renewal of the mandate of UNAMA

In his briefing to the Council on 20 March 2012 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General said that in his first meetings with Afghan partners he had perceived a great desire for the continued presence of UNAMA. He then elaborated on the work of UNAMA in electoral assistance, the promotion of human rights, including the rights of women, peace and reconciliation, and on ensuring a greater coherence of United Nations efforts in all areas. 41 The representative of Afghanistan expressed his appreciation for the comprehensive review of UNAMA mandated activities, agreeing with the findings of the report of the Secretary-General (S/2012/133), namely on the support of UNAMA to Afghan-led political processes, human rights and aid coherence.<sup>42</sup>

On 22 March 2012, the Council adopted resolution 2041 (2012), by which it extended the mandate of UNAMA until 23 March 2013, in recognition that the renewed mandate took full account of the transition process and was in support of the full assumption by Afghanistan of leadership and ownership in the security, governance and development areas.<sup>43</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> S/PV.7085, p. 4. Ibid., p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Ibid., p. 5 (Afghanistan); p. 6 (Australia); p. 7 (Rwanda); p. 10 (Guatemala); pp. 16-17 (Luxembourg); pp. 17-18 (United Kingdom); p. 23 (Japan); p. 24 (European Union); p. 25 (Canada); and p. 28 (Germany). S/PV.6735, pp. 2-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> For more information, see part X, sect. II, with regard to the mandate of UNAMA.

In his briefing to the Council on 20 September 2012 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General stated that good offices, regional cooperation and support for elections, peace and reconciliation, advocacy on human rights, including the rights of women and children, development coherence and humanitarian aid were at the very core of the mandate of UNAMA. He added that in the face of budget reductions for 2013, UNAMA would focus on support for the Afghan authorities in the priority areas of its mandate. Several delegates expressed their concern about the decline in resources allocated to UNAMA.

By <u>resolution 2096 (2013)</u>, adopted on 19 March 2013, the Council extended the mandate of UNAMA until 19 March 2014, emphasising the importance of adequate resourcing and laying a focus on coordination and coherence. The mandate also contains support of the organization of future Afghan elections. In his briefing preceding the adoption, the Secretary-General said that after the significant budget reductions in 2013 no additional reductions for 2014 were envisaged. The representative of Afghanistan welcomed the mandate as reflecting and reinforcing the principles of Afghan ownership and leadership. The representative of Afghan ownership and leadership.

On 17 December 2013 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General said that with regards to the role of UNAMA and more broadly of the United Nations in Afghanistan, he foresaw the continued need for an integrated mission streamlined around core areas, namely, good offices in support of Afghan-led processes, leading development coherence among international stakeholders, and human rights monitoring and advocacy, including a particular focus on the rights of women and children, as well as humanitarian assistance.<sup>48</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> <u>S/PV.6840</u>, pp. 3-4.

<sup>45</sup> Ibid., p. 7 (Guatemala); p. 14 (South Africa); p. 18 (France); p. 19 (India); and p. 20 (Pakistan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> S/PV.6935, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Ibid., p. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> S/PV.7085, p. 3.

## March 2012 to December 2013: Reconciliation and peace process

In his briefing to the Council on 20 March 2012 the representative of Afghanistan stated that the dynamics of the peace talks had shifted with the announcement of the opening of the Taliban office in Qatar, which would provide fresh impetus to peace efforts. Together with the representative of Guatemala, he also welcomed measures taken by the Security Council Committee established pursuant to resolution 1988 (2011), which would help reconciliation efforts. To

In the debate on 27 June 2012 several speakers welcomed the appointment of Salahuddin Rabbani to lead the High Peace Council.<sup>51</sup> In the same debate, the representative of France said that the United Nations sanctions regime must continue to be used as a confidence-building measure in intra-Afghan reconciliation, rewarding those who had made the choice of peace and punishing those who wanted to pursue the path of violence.<sup>52</sup>

Again in the debate on 20 September 2012 several speakers mentioned resolution 1988 (2011) as a tool in the peace process.<sup>53</sup>

On 19 December 2012 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General and the representative of Afghanistan both reported on the successful visit of the High Peace Council to Pakistan, which had given new momentum to the peace process.<sup>54</sup> The representative of Afghanistan also looked to the Council to help expedite efforts by meeting Afghan delisting

<sup>50</sup> Ibid., p. 6 (Afghanistan); and p. 10 (Guatemala).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> <u>S/PV.6735</u>, p. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> S/PV.6793, p. 4 (Under-Secretary-General for Peacekeeping Operations); p. 13 (Guatemala); p. 18 (South Africa); p. 19 (Morocco); p. 21 (Azerbaijan); p. 24 (China); p. 26 (Japan); and p. 29 (Turkey). <sup>52</sup> Ibid., p. 24 (France).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> S/PV.6840, p. 3 (Special Representative of the Secretary-General); p. 5 (Afghanistan); p. 7 (Guatemala); p. 9 (Colombia); and p. 13 (South Africa).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> S/PV.6896, p. 3 (Special Representative of the Secretary-General); and p. 5 (Afghanistan).

and travel-ban exemption requests for concerned individuals, and, in this respect, welcomed relevant provisions of resolution 2082 (2012).<sup>55</sup>

In the meeting on 20 June 2013 several speakers made reference to the recent opening of a Taliban office in Doha, expressing their hope that it would serve to promote peace. <sup>56</sup> The representative of Afghanistan said that the opening had been agreed with the United States, under assurances that the sole purpose of the office would be to serve as a venue for direct negotiations between the Taliban and the High Peace Council. The office would not serve as an official representation of the Taliban and would not engage in or support any activity related to terrorism and acts of violence. In his view these conditions had not been met in the recent opening, nor in recent Taliban statements.<sup>57</sup> The representative of the United States recalled that her country supported the opening of the political Office in Doha only for the purposes of negotiations between the Afghan High Peace Council and the authorized representatives of the Taliban. She was pleased that Qatar had clarified that the name of the office was the "Political Office of the Afghan Taliban" and had had the sign with the incorrect name "Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan" in front of the door taken down. She underscored that the office must not be treated or represent itself as an embassy or other office representing the Afghan Taliban as an emirate, Government or sovereign. 58 While supporting the approach of the Afghan Government to the establishment and functioning of the Taliban office in Doha, the representative of the Russian Federation called for strict compliance with the sanctions regime of the Security Council, in particular the prohibition of international visits for those who figured on the sanctions list.<sup>59</sup>

<sup>55</sup> Ibid., p. 5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Ibid., p. 8 (Republic of Korea); p. 11 (Luxembourg); p. 13 (Pakistan); p. 14 (France); p. 17 (Guatemala); p. 18 (Togo); p. 20 (United Kingdom); and p. 30 (Germany).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> <u>S/PV.6983</u>, p. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Ibid., pp. 8-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Ibid., p. 19.

In the debate on 19 September 2013 many speakers underlined that the peace process had to be Afghan-led.<sup>60</sup> Some speakers also acknowledged the contribution of Pakistan and other countries in the region to the process.<sup>61</sup>

In his briefing to the Council on 17 December 2013 the representative of Afghanistan said that his government was working to renew momentum in the peace process and, in that regard, was involved at the regional level, in launching a new phase of dialogue between the leadership in Afghanistan and Pakistan through bilateral and trilateral meetings in London, Kabul and Islamabad. The representative of Pakistan informed the Council of the release of Taliban prisoners, including Mullah Abdullah Ghani Baradar, to facilitate his dialogue with the High Peace Council.

#### March 2012 to December 2013: Human rights and humanitarian issues

In the meeting on 20 March 2012 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General pointed to the fact that 2011 was the fifth year in a row in which civilian deaths had risen, and that in spite of legal and constitutional protections for women, violence against women and girls remained pervasive in Afghanistan. Several speakers shared this concern and underlined the importance of the promotion and protection of human rights in the transition to Afghan leadership. Several speakers shared this concern and the importance of the promotion and protection of human rights in the transition to Afghan leadership.

In his briefing to the Council on 27 June 2012 the Under-Secretary-General for Peacekeeping Operations made reference to the civilian deaths resulting from an air strike six

<sup>64</sup> <u>S/PV.6735</u>, p. 4.

<sup>S/PV.7035, p. 3 (Special Representative of the Secretary-General); p. 6 (Azerbaijan); p. 9 (Luxembourg);
p. 10 (Argentina); p. 14 (France); p. 15 (China); p. 16 (Rwanda); p. 18 (United Kingdom); p. 22 (Italy);
p. 26 (European Union); p. 27 (Japan); p. 28 (Germany);
p. 28 (Turkey); and p. 29 (Slovakia).</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Ibid., p. 3 (Special Representative of the Secretary-General); pp. 11-13 (Pakistan); p. 15 (China); p. 18 (United Kingdom); pp. 22-23 (Italy); p. 27 (Japan); and p. 29 (Slovakia).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> <u>S/PV.7085</u>, p. 5.

<sup>63</sup> Ibid., p. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Ibid., p. 6 (Afghanistan); p. 8 (Portugal); p. 10 (South Africa); p. 14 (India); p. 19 (Morocco); p. 27 (European Union); pp. 30-31 (Norway); and p. 31 (Finland).

days prior to the 12 June decision by ISAF to increase restrictions on the use of aerial munitions against civilian dwellings, which he welcomed.<sup>66</sup> He also recalled that more than 3 million Afghan refugees were registered in Pakistan and Iran and welcomed, in this respect, the Solutions Strategy for Afghan Refugees as it addressed the return and reintegration of Afghan refugees in a comprehensive and sustainable manner.<sup>67</sup>

In the debate on 20 September 2012 several speakers underlined the importance of women's rights. Others pointed to the fact that the humanitarian situation was particularly worrisome for women. The representative of Portugal called on national authorities and international partners to support implementation of the Law on the Elimination of Violence against Women and the national action plan for women in Afghanistan. The representative of the United Kingdom said that the Mutual Accountability Framework adopted at Tokyo committed the Afghan Government, inter alia, to promote human rights, especially those of women.

In his briefing on 20 June 2013 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General expressed concern about whether the recent appointment of new human rights commissioners to the Afghan Independent Human Rights Commission complied with international principles and standards and met Afghan legal requirements of transparency, broad consultations and selection of independent, qualified individuals. He also cautioned that an erosion of commitments taken by Afghanistan on women's rights, including the Law on the Elimination of Violence against Women and its implementation, would have a direct negative impact on future international assistance by key international donors.<sup>72</sup> In response, the representative of Afghanistan said that the empowerment of women was among his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> <u>S/PV.6793</u>, p. 3.

<sup>67</sup> Ibid., p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> S/PV.6840 pp. 6-7 (Portugal); ,p. 7 (Guatemala); p. 11 (United States); p. 17 (France); p. 24 (Germany); p. 27 (European Union); p. 28 (Australia); p. 29 (Finland); and p. 30 (Canada).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Ibid., p. 12 (Morocco); p. 14 (South Africa); p. 20 (China).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Ibid., p. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Ibid., p. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> <u>S/PV.6983</u>, p. 3.

country's proudest achievements, and that Afghanistan was working to protect and promote the human rights of all Afghans, and those of women in particular. 73

On 19 September 2013 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General briefed the Council on the meetings of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights with President Karzai and senior officials, as well as with civil society representatives and human rights activists in Kabul earlier that week. He reported that the High Commissioner had noted commendable progress in some areas of human rights and the commitment of President Karzai and other key officials. However, the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights had also stated her concern that the momentum of improvements in human rights may be waning. She had urged additional efforts on the part of the President and the Government to ensure that justice and human rights — in particular women's rights — were preserved and consolidated.<sup>74</sup> Similarly, several speakers urged the Afghan government to step up its efforts in the protection of human rights.<sup>75</sup>

In the debate on 17 December 2013 the representative of Australia urged Afghanistan to fully implement the Law on the Elimination of Violence against Women;<sup>76</sup> the representative of Luxembourg added that with regard to that law, impunity seemed to be the rule rather than the exception. 77 Several other speakers expressed their concern about the human rights situation in the country.<sup>78</sup>

<sup>73</sup> Ibid., p. 6.
<sup>74</sup> <u>S/PV.7035</u>, pp. 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Ibid., p. 9 (Luxembourg); p. 11 (Guatemala); p. 15 (Republic of Korea); p. 17 (United States); p. 20 (Togo); p. 21 (Australia); pp. 24-25 (Estonia); p. 26 (European Union); p. 28 (Germany); and p. 30 (Canada).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> S/PV.7085, p. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Ibid., p. 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Ibid., p. 13 (United States); p. 15 (Togo); p. 18 (Argentina); p. 20 (France); p. 24 (European Union); p. 25 (Canada); and p. 28 (Germany).

## March 2012 to December 2013: The fight against drugs

In his briefing to the Council on 20 March 2012 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General said that stronger efforts in combating drug production and trafficking were critically important, given the increase in poppy cultivation and opium production and the consequent increased threat to security, stability, development and governance in Afghanistan and the region. Other speakers also acknowledged the drug problem and its interconnectedness with the security situation and called for efforts to counter it. The representative of the Russian Federation called for the eradication of the fields of drug crops and the infrastructure for producing drugs to become a priority for international security forces; he said that the report of the Secretary-General conveyed the impression that there was no problem.

In his briefing to the Council on 27 June 2012 the Executive Director of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) reported on increased opium production in Afghanistan and stated that drug trafficking also undermined the stability of the region. He also informed the Council about various initiatives and partnerships that UNODC was supporting. He encouraged Member States to communicate the message that illicit drugs and crime were capable of undermining attempts to promote economic and social development in the country. <sup>82</sup> The representative of Afghanistan said that over the past five years poppy cultivation had been significantly reduced and reported an increasing number of successes in eradicating cultivation and bringing drug traffickers to justice. However, cooperation and coordination in preventing the flow of chemical precursors into Afghanistan and providing Afghan farmers with alternative livelihoods was urgent. <sup>83</sup> The representative of Germany stated that without progress in governance, development and law enforcement, progress in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> S/PV.6735, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Ibid., p. 10 (Guatemala); p. 13 (Pakistan); p. 14 (India); p. 17 (Togo); p. 23 (United States); and p. 27 (European Union).

<sup>81</sup> Ibid., pp. 21-22.

<sup>82 &</sup>lt;u>S/PV.6793</u>, pp. 5-6.

<sup>°</sup> Ibid., p. 7.

counter-narcotics efforts would also be limited. <sup>84</sup> The representative of the Russian Federation called on the Afghan Government, but also on ISAF, to make the destruction of poppy fields and infrastructure for the production of drugs a top-priority. He also called for making full use of the experience of the Collective Security Treaty Organization (CSTO) in intercepting drug-trafficking and the related financing sources and proposed to forge counterdrug interaction between the CSTO and ISAF. <sup>85</sup> In the debate on 20 June 2013 he reiterated his suggestion for dialogue with the CSTO and called for the implementation of the decisions of the third ministerial conference of the Paris Pact on preventing the spread of Afghan narcotics. In his view, an important measure in that regard would be the agreed inclusion of drug traffickers on the sanctions lists of the Security Council. <sup>86</sup>

On 19 September 2013 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General shared with the Council his concern about assessments that the current year would see a significant rise in opium cultivation and a continuing drop in poppy-free provinces. The representative of the Russian Federation reported on incidences where ISAF had conducted successful operations to destroy heroin laboratories on the basis of information from their Russian colleagues; he therefore called for improving bilateral cooperation on Afghanistan between NATO and CSTO, particularly in the area of the fight against drugs. 88

In his briefing to the Council on 17 December 2013 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General expressed his concern about a record-setting year of poppy cultivation and production in Afghanistan resulting in some 5,500 tons of opium. He said that this menace threatened the health, security and economic well-being not just of Afghanistan but also of the region and the wider international community.<sup>89</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Ibid., p. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> Ibid., pp. 22-23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> S/PV.6983, p. 19.

<sup>8/ &</sup>lt;u>S/PV.7035</u>, p. 3.

<sup>88</sup> Ibid., p. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> S/PV 7085 n 3

## March 2012 to December 2013: Preparation of elections

In his briefing to the Council on 20 March 2012 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General said that the majority of his Afghan partners expressed the view that there was a need to strengthen and improve the electoral process in Afghanistan, including through electoral reform. <sup>90</sup> Various delegations agreed on the importance of solid electoral institutions and welcomed the engagement of the United Nations in this regard. <sup>91</sup>

In the debate on 20 September 2012 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General said that the conduct of credible 2014 presidential elections was essential to national unity and legitimacy. He mentioned inclusiveness, a strong and credible Independent Election Commission, as well as clear agreement on a final dispute resolution mechanism as important aspects of electoral preparations. The representative of Guatemala emphasized the importance of an electoral law and a law on the duties and structure of the Independent Electoral Commission being passed in the first quarter of 2013, one year before the elections. Shows the second structure of the Independent elections.

On 19 December 2012 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General reported that the Independent Election Commission had decided to hold the presidential elections on 5 April 2014, while the electoral system, appointments to the management bodies, and a dispute resolution mechanism were being debated. He also informed on the first visit of a United Nations needs assessment mission to realign future electoral assistance. <sup>94</sup> The representative of Afghanistan added that the draft electoral law was currently under

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> S/PV.6735, p. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Ibid., p. 8 (Germany); p. 9 (Portugal); p. 10 (Guatemala); p. 17 (Togo); p. 26 (European Union); p. 27 (Australia); p. 30 (Norway).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> <u>S/PV.6840</u>, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Ibid., p. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> S/PV.6896, p. 2.

consideration by Parliament. 95 Several speakers welcomed the announcement of elections and underlined the importance of electoral support by UNAMA.<sup>96</sup>

In his briefing to the Council on 19 March 2013 the Secretary-General welcomed the active and responsible participation of all stakeholders in building a widely accepted electoral framework. He also cautioned that agreement on an impartial, credible and independent mechanism, as well as the appointment of a respected, widely accepted chairperson to the Independent Electoral Commission for electoral dispute resolution would be critical. 97 Other speakers also emphasized the importance of credible, inclusive and transparent elections. 98

On 20 June 2013 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General, together with other speakers, urged the passage of the two major pieces of electoral legislation, namely the electoral law and draft Independent Election Commission law. 99 The representative of Afghanistan said that both had been adopted by the lower house of Parliament and were currently under consideration by the upper house. 100

On 19 September 2013 the Special Representative of the Secretary-General noted that the 2014 elections remained at the forefront of political life in Afghanistan, stressing that a stable leadership transition through timely elections was central to achieving all other objectives. 101 The representative of Afghanistan informed the Council on the appointment of new members of the Independent Election Commission and the Independent Election

<sup>96</sup> Ibid., p. 7 (Germany); p. 10 (United States); p. 13 (Colombia); p. 14 (United Kingdom); p. 15 (Russian Federation); p. 16 (China); p. 18 (Togo); p. 21 (South Africa); pp. 22-23 (France); pp. 25-26 (European Union); p. 28 (Australia); and p. 29 (Turkey). <sup>97</sup> S/PV.6935, p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> Ibid., p. 6.

<sup>98</sup> Ibid., p. 8 (United States); p. 14 (Republic of Korea); p. 16 (France); pp. 16-17 (Morocco); p. 19 (Luxembourg); p. 20 (United Kingdom); p. 21 (Guatemala); p. 25 (Denmark); p. 28 (European Union); pp. 28-29 (Canada); and p. 30 (Italy); and S/PV.6935 (Resumption 1), p. 3 (Spain); p. 3 (Germany); p. 4 (Slovakia); p. 6 (Estonia); p. 7 (Turkey); p. 10 (Lithuania); and p. 11 (Kyrgyzstan); <sup>99</sup> S/PV.6983, p. 2 (Special Representative of the Secretary-General); p. 6 (Australia); p. 8 (United States);

p. 11 (Luxembourg); p. 14 (France); p. 16 (Guatemala); p. 20 (United Kingdom); p. 23 (European Union); p. 29 (Canada); and p. 30 (Germany). <sup>100</sup> Ibid., p. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> S/PV<u>.7035</u>, p. 2

Complaints Commission, on the election of a chairman of the Independent Election Commission, the arrangement of a national security strategy for elections, and the signing into law of electoral legislation. 102 These steps were generally welcomed by several speakers. 103 However, concern about the security context for the elections was also expressed, against the background of the assassination of the head of the Independent Election Commission office in Kunduz province. 104 The representative of the Russian Federation said that the drawdown of the international military presence in Afghanistan bore the risk of further negative developments and could complicate the holding of the presidential elections. 105

In his briefing on 17 December 2013 the representative of Afghanistan informed the Council on progress in the preparations for the presidential and provincial elections: the Independent Election Commission had announced the final list of 11 presidential candidates and their running mates, more than 3 million new voters had registered for the elections, and Afghan national security institutions had put in place a comprehensive strategy to ensure security on election day. 106

#### October 2012 to October 2013: Extension of the authorization of ISAF mandate

During the reporting period the Council twice decided to extend the authorization of ISAF, for the period of one year in resolution 2069 (2012) of 9 October 2012, and in resolution 2120 (2013) of 10 October 2013, for the period until 31 December 2014;

<sup>103</sup> Ibid., p. 6 (Azerbaijan); p. 9 (Luxembourg); p. 11 (Guatemala); p. 13 (France); p. 14 (Republic of Korea); p. 15 (China); p. 16 (Rwanda); p. 17 (United States); p. 18 (United Kingdom); p. 19 (Morocco); p. 20 (Togo); p. 21 (Australia); p. 22 (Italy); p. 24 (Estonia); p. 25 (European Union); p. 26 (Japan); pp. 27-28 (Germany); and p. 30 (Canada).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup>Ibid, p. 5.

<sup>104</sup> Ibid., p. 5 (Afghanistan); p. 9 (Luxembourg); p. 14 (Republic of Korea); p. 16 (Rwanda); p. 20 (Togo); p. 27 (Japan); and p. 28 (Germany). <sup>105</sup> Ibid., p. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> S/PV.7085, pp. 4-5.

noting that any new mission should have a sound legal basis as stated in paragraph 14 of the Chicago Summit Joint Declaration on Afghanistan.

# Meetings: The situation in Afghanistan

Meeting and date	Sub-item	Other documents	Rule 37 invitations	Rule 39 and other invitations	Speakers	Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)
S/PV.6735 20 March 2012	Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security (S/2012/133)		Afghanistan, Australia, Canada, Finland, Japan, Norway, Turkey	Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Afghanistan and Head of the United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA), Head of the Delegation of the European Union to the United Nations	· invitees	
<u>S/PV.6738</u> 22 March 2012	Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security (S/2012/133)	Draft resolution submitted by Germany (S/2012/170)	Afghanistan			Resolution 2041 (2012) (15-0-0)
S/PV.6793 27 June 2012	Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security (S/2012/462)		Afghanistan, Australia, Canada, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Japan, Latvia, New Zealand, Turkey	Under-Secretary-General of Peacekeeping Operations, Executive Director of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, Head of the Delegation of the European Union, Assistant Secretary- General for Operations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization		

Meeting and date	Sub-item	Other documents	Rule 37 invitations	Rule 39 and other invitations	Speakers	Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)
S/PV.6840 20 September 2012	Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implication for international peace and security (S/2012/703)		Afghanistan, Australia, Canada, Finland, Japan, Turkey	Special Representative of the Secretary-General fo Afghanistan and Head of UNAMA, Head of the Delegation of the European Union		
S/PV.6843 9 October 2012		Draft resolution submitted by Germany (S/2012/742),  Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implication for international peace and security (S/2012/703)			Togo	Resolution 2069 (2012) (15-0-0)
<u>S/PV.6896</u> 19 December 2012	Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implication for international peace and security ( <u>S/2012/907</u> )		Afghanistan, Australia, Iran (Islamic Republic of),, Japan, Turkey	Special Representative of the Secretary-General fo Afghanistan and Head of UNAMA, Head of the Delegation of the European Union		
S/PV.6935 S/PV.6935 (Resumption 1) 19 March 2013	Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security (S/2013/133)	Draft resolution submitted by Australia (S/2013/164)	Afghanistan, Canada, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Georgia, Germany,	Special Representative of the Secretary-General fo Afghanistan and	all Council	Resolution 2096 (2013) (15-0-0)

Meeting and date	Sub-item	Other documents	Rule 37 invitations	Rule 39 and other invitations	Speakers	Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)
			India, Italy, Japan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Lithuania, Slovakia, Spain, Turkey, Ukraine	Head of UNAMA, Special Representative of the European Union for Afghanistan	the European Union for Afghanistan	
<u>S/PV.6983</u> 20 June 2013	Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security (\$\frac{\strace{S}}{2013}/350\$)		Afghanistan, Canada, Germany, India, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Italy, Japan, Latvia, Spain Turkey	Afghanistan and		
<u>S/PV.7035</u> 19 September 2013	Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security (S/2013/535)		Afghanistan, Canada, Estonia, Germany, India, Irai (Islamic Republic of),, Italy, Japan, Slovakia, Turkey	Special Representative of the n Secretary-General for Afghanistan and Head of UNAMA, Special Representative of the European Union for Afghanistan	invitees	
<u>S/PV.7041</u> 10 October 2013		Draft resolution submitted by Australia (S/2013/599),	Afghanistan			Resolution 2120 (2013) (15-0-0)
		Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implications for				

Meeting and date	Sub-item	Other documents	Rule 37 invitations	Rule 39 and other invitations	Speakers	Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)
		international peace and security ( <u>S/2013/535</u> )				
<u>S/PV.7085</u> 17 December 2013	Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security (S/2013/721)			Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Afghanistan and Head of UNAMA, Head of the Delegation of the European Union to the United Nations		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Afghanistan was represented by its Minister for Foreign Affairs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Australia, Denmark and Finland were represented at the ministerial level.